

# Lecture 04

## Applications and Intro to Multivariate Regression

14 September 2015

Taylor B. Arnold  
Yale Statistics  
STAT 312/612

The Yale logo, consisting of the word "Yale" in a blue, serif font.

## Notes

1. Problem set 1 due start of next class
2. TA session tomorrow night
3. Two typo; 4(b) has hypothesis test for the intercept not the slope, 3(c) required a tweak to the probability
4. Try to get a fresh copy of notes!

## Goals for today

1. Galton's heights data
2. Multivariate regression; normal equations
3. Model frames in R

# GALTON HEIGHTS APPLICATION

# MULTIVARIATE REGRESSION MODELS

The multivariate linear regression model is, on the surface, only a slight generalization of the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = x_{1,i}\beta_1 + x_{2,i}\beta_2 + \cdots + x_{p,i}\beta_p + \epsilon_i$$

The multivariate linear regression model is, on the surface, only a slight generalization of the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = x_{1,i}\beta_1 + x_{2,i}\beta_2 + \cdots + x_{p,i}\beta_p + \epsilon_i$$

The statistical estimation problem now becomes one of estimating the  $p$  components of the multivariate vector  $\beta$ .

A sample can be re-written in terms of the vector  $x_i$  (the vector of covariates for a single observation):

$$y_i = x_i^t \beta + \epsilon_i$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & x_{2,n} & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & x_{2,n} & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Which can be compactly written as:

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & x_{2,n} & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Which can be compactly written as:

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

**Note:** we use the transpose for  $x_i^t\beta$  but not for  $X\beta$ !

For reference, note the following equation

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

Yields these dimensions:

$$y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$$

$$\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

## Vector Norms

When working with vectors and matrices, it will be helpful to represent certain quantities by norms. The p-norm of a vector is given by:

$$\|x\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p$$

## Vector Norms

When working with vectors and matrices, it will be helpful to represent certain quantities by norms. The p-norm of a vector is given by:

$$\|x\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p$$

In particular, the squared 2-norm yields the sum of squares of a vector.

## Vector Norm Properties

The following properties are true of all vector norms, for a scalar  $\alpha$  and vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha v_1\| &= |\alpha| \cdot \|v_1\| \\ \|v_1 + v_2\| &\leq \|v_1\| + \|v_2\|\end{aligned}$$

## **$p$ -Norm Properties**

$p$ -norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

## **p-Norm Properties**

$p$ -norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

Define  $q$  such that:

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

The  $q$ -norm and  $p$ -norm are then said to be *dual* to one another.

## **p-Norm Properties**

$p$ -norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

Define  $q$  such that:

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

The  $q$ -norm and  $p$ -norm are then said to be *dual* to one another.

Notice that the 2-norm is dual to itself.

## **p-Norm Properties, cont.**

Hölder's inequality then yields

$$|\mathbf{v}_1^t \mathbf{v}_2| \leq \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_p \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_q$$

## **p-Norm Properties, cont.**

Hölder's inequality then yields

$$|\mathbf{v}_1^t \mathbf{v}_2| \leq \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_p \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_q$$

As a special case, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives that:

$$|\mathbf{v}_1^t \mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_2^2$$

## **p-Norm Properties, cont.**

Finally, and of most importance for us today, note that the squared 2-norm is exactly equal to the self inner product:

$$\|v_1\|_2^2 = v_1^t v_1$$

## Least squares (again)

To estimate the least squares solution, which is again the MLE for independent normal errors, we see that:

$$\hat{\beta} \in \arg \min_{b \in \mathbb{R}^p} \{ \|y - Xb\|_2^2 \}$$

## Least squares (again)

To estimate the least squares solution, which is again the MLE for independent normal errors, we see that:

$$\hat{\beta} \in \arg \min_{b \in \mathbb{R}^p} \{ \|y - Xb\|_2^2 \}$$

Now using vector norms to denote the sum of squares.

It will be helpful to re-write the sum of squares as:

$$\|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = (y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta)$$

It will be helpful to re-write the sum of squares as:

$$\begin{aligned}\|y - X\beta\|_2^2 &= (y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta) \\ &= (y^t - \beta^t X^t)(y - X\beta)\end{aligned}$$

It will be helpful to re-write the sum of squares as:

$$\begin{aligned}\|y - X\beta\|_2^2 &= (y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta) \\ &= (y^t - \beta^t X^t)(y - X\beta) \\ &= y^t Y - y^t X\beta - \beta^t X^t y + \beta^t X^t X\beta\end{aligned}$$

It will be helpful to re-write the sum of squares as:

$$\begin{aligned}\|y - X\beta\|_2^2 &= (y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta) \\ &= (y^t - \beta^t X^t)(y - X\beta) \\ &= y^t Y - y^t X\beta - \beta^t X^t y + \beta^t X^t X\beta \\ &= y^t Y - 2y^t X\beta + \beta^t X^t X\beta\end{aligned}$$

## Normal Equations

In order to find the minimum of the sum of squares, we take the gradient with respect to  $\beta$  and set it equal to zero.

Recall that, for a vector  $a$  and symmetric matrix  $A$  :

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\beta} a^t \beta &= a \\ \nabla_{\beta} \beta^t A \beta &= 2A\beta\end{aligned}$$

## Normal Equations

In order to find the minimum of the sum of squares, we take the gradient with respect to  $\beta$  and set it equal to zero.

Recall that, for a vector  $a$  and symmetric matrix  $A$  :

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\beta} a^t \beta &= a \\ \nabla_{\beta} \beta^t A \beta &= 2A\beta\end{aligned}$$

This gives the gradient of the sum of squares as:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 &= \nabla_{\beta} (y^t y - 2y^t X\beta + \beta^t X^t X\beta) \\ &= 2X^t X\beta - 2X^t y\end{aligned}$$

Setting this equal to zero gives a set of  $p$  equations called the normal equations:

$$X^t X \hat{\beta} = X^t y$$

## **Maximum or Minimum?**

To determine whether the normal equations give a local minimum, maximum, or saddle point, we can calculate the Hessian matrix.

## Maximum or Minimum?

To determine whether the normal equations give a local minimum, maximum, or saddle point, we can calculate the Hessian matrix. This is a  $p \times p$  matrix giving every combination of the second partial derivatives:

$$Hf(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_p} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_1} & \ddots & & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_p} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_p \partial \beta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_p \partial \beta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_p \partial \beta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

If the Hessian is positive definite ( $x^t H x \geq 0$ ) at a critical point, then the critical point is a local minimum.

Looking at the gradient of the sum of squares:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^t X\beta - 2X^t y$$

Looking at the gradient of the sum of squares:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX\beta - 2X^ty$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$H_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX$$

Looking at the gradient of the sum of squares:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX\beta - 2X^ty$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$H_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX$$

Why is this positive definite?

Looking at the gradient of the sum of squares:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX\beta - 2X^ty$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$H_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = 2X^tX$$

Why is this positive definite?

$$\begin{aligned} v^t (2X^tX) v &= 2 (v^t X^t X v) \\ &= 2 \|Xv\|_2^2 \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Back to the normal equations themselves, notice that if the matrix  $X^tX$  is invertible, we can 'solve' the normal equations as:

$$X^tX\hat{\beta} = X^ty$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^tX)^{-1}X^ty$$

Back to the normal equations themselves, notice that if the matrix  $X^tX$  is invertible, we can 'solve' the normal equations as:

$$X^tX\hat{\beta} = X^ty$$
$$\hat{\beta} = (X^tX)^{-1}X^ty$$

This is not a good way to solve the normal equations numerically, but for deriving theoretical results about the least squares estimator this form will be very useful.

# MATRICES AND MODEL FRAMES IN R