## Handout 19: Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Consider a random variable $X$ with a probability density function $f(\theta ; x)$ with one univariate parameter $\theta$. We can define a random variable $V$, called the score, as the derivative of the logarithm of the density of $X .{ }^{1}$ We see that this has a nice form by applying the chain rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[\log (f(\theta ; X))] \\
& =\frac{1}{f(\theta ; X)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[f(\theta ; X)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The score measures the sensitivity of the data to the parameter $\theta$. However, because it can be positive or negative, on average it turns out that the score will have an expected value of zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} V & =\int f(\theta ; x) \cdot \frac{1}{f(\theta ; x)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[f(\theta ; x)] d x \\
& =\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\theta ; x) d x=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(\theta ; x) d x=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[1]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds for any value of $\theta$.
Because the positive and negative scores cancel each other out, in order to use the score as a measurement of the relationship between the paramter $\theta$ and a value of the data $X$, we need to look at the square of the score. The expected value of this is called the Fisher information, commonly denoted by $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$ :

$$
\mathcal{I}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}\left[V^{2} \mid \theta\right]=\operatorname{Var}(V \mid \theta) .
$$

The Fisher information serves as a measurment of how much information about $\theta$ is provided by the data $X$. The Fisher information can change for different values of $\theta$, but does not depend on the data $X$, which has been integrated out.

Now, let $T=t(X)$ be an unbiased point estimator for the parameter $\theta$. The risk of an estimator of $\theta$ is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{R}(\hat{\theta} ; \theta)=\mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}\right] .
$$

Let's see if we can offer a bound on the best possible risk of any unbiased estimator. First, take the covariance of $T$ and $V .{ }^{2}$ This has, by construction, a nice form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}(V, T) & =\int\left[f(\theta ; x) \times t(x) \times \frac{1}{f(\theta ; x)} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[f(\theta ; x)]\right] d x \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left[\int t(x) f(\theta, x) d x\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E} T=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1}$ The important point is that the score tells us how much the density $f$ changes at a point $x$ with respect to $\theta$. The logarithm is there to make the score measure the relative change rather than the absolute change, which can also be seen through the the application of the chain-rule.
${ }^{2}$ Recall that the covariance in general would be $\mathbb{E}[(V-\mathbb{E} V)(T-\mathbb{E} T)]$, but is $\mathbb{E} T V$ because $V$ has an expected value of 0 .

Where the last step comes from the fact that $T$ is unbiased. Next, we need to use the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality, which for probability spaces says that covariance of two random variables is always less in absolute value than the square-root of the product of their variances. 3 Applying this to $T$ and $V$ shows that:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Var}(T) \cdot \operatorname{Var}(V) \geq|\operatorname{Cov}(V, T)|^{2} \\
\operatorname{Var}(T) \cdot \mathcal{I}(\theta) \geq|1|^{2} \\
\operatorname{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}(\theta)}
\end{array}
$$

So, the variance of $T$ can never be less than the inverse of the Fisher information. This provides a bound on the best that we can hope to do in terms of estimating the parameter $\theta$ from the data $X$. This result is called the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

The efficency of an unbiased estimator, written $e(\widehat{\theta})$, provides a measurement of how far away the variance of the estimator is away from the Cramèr-Rao bound. Namely, we have:

$$
e(\widehat{\theta})=\frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{\theta})}
$$

We say that an estimator is efficent if it has an effiency of 1. Another way to state the Cramér-Rao bound is to simply say that the efficency is never greater than 1.

Under some regularity conditions-in particular, that the logarithm of the density function $f$ is twice-differentiable-the Fisher information can be written in a somewhat simplified form:

$$
\mathcal{I}(\theta)=-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log f(\theta ; x)\right]
$$

Typically, squaring the log density requires having a number of cross terms, whereas the second derivative removes a number of terms, simplifying the calculation. This is the version that we will use on the worksheet.

It is possible to extend the result above to the case where $X$ and $\theta$ are vectors. The extension for a vector $X$, which includes the important case of a random sample of size $n$, is fairly trivial. We just replace all of the single integrals above with $n$-dimensional integrals over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Generalizing to a vector value for $\theta$ is a bit more work, requiring some vector calculus that goes beyond the prerequisites for this course. The general idea, however, is very similar.
${ }^{3}$ The more general form says that the squared inner product $|\langle u, v\rangle|^{2}$. is less than $\langle u, u\rangle \cdot\langle v, v\rangle$. Applying this to the integration with density $f$ yields the probabilistic version.

