## Worksheet 14 (Solutions)

1. Consider a simple linear regression where we know that $b_{0}=$ 0 . You can write $b_{1} \rightarrow b$ to simplify the notation. Write down the likelihood function for the sample. Do not yet simplify.

Solution: The likelihood is given by:

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(b ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} \frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)^{2}}
$$

2. Now, (a) compute the log-likelihood function and simplify. (b) Without doing any calculus (that is, just looking at the function), maximizing the log-likelihood with respect to $b$ is equivalent to minimizing what quantity in terms of $y_{i}, x_{i}$, and $b$ ? (c) Why might it make sense to minimize this quantity? Note: Ask me about the correct solution before proceeding.

Solution: (a) Taking the logarithm and simplifying yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\left(b ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) & =\sum_{i} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{n}{2} \log \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Looking at this, we see that to maximize the $\log$-likelihood with $b$, we need to minimize the quantity $\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)^{2}$. (c) This is actually a logical thing to do, because these are the squared sums of the residuals, the amount that we are missing the $y_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ s by our regression line. Making these as small as possible is a reasonable thing; it is also where the term best-fit line for the solution comes from.
3. Take the derivative of the quantity that you had in part (b) from the previous question with respect to the parameter $b$. Set this equal to zero to get the MLE.

Solution: The derivative of the sum of squares is:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)^{2}=-2 \sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right)
$$

And solving for zero gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot\left(y_{i}-x_{i} b\right) & =0 \\
\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}-x_{i} & =\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \widehat{b} \\
\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}} & =\widehat{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. What obsevations will have the most influence on the estimate of the slope? Does this make sense?

Solution: Observations farther from the origin will have a higher impact on the output. This makes sense because we are measuring the slope of a line through the origin. Since the variance of $Y_{i}$ is fixed, we have more signal in points that are farther from the origin.
5. What is the distribution of the MLE of $b$ ? Is the estimator unbiased? Under what conditions will it be consistent? Note: This will take several steps.

Solution: We see quickly that $\widehat{b}$ is a sum of independent normals, so it will have a normal distribution. We need only to figure out its mean and variance. These are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \widehat{b} & =\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot \mathbb{E} y_{i}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot x_{i} b}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} b}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =b \cdot\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =b
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we see that it is unbiased. The variance is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{b}) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(y_{i}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \cdot \sigma^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance will limit to zero as long as $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, generally the case as long as we have data points $x_{i}$ that are not limiting to the origin in some strange way.
6. Go back to the full log-likelihood function. Take the derivative with respect to $\sigma^{2}$ (remember, this is a single parameter, not the square of a parameter). Set this to zero and solve to get the MLE of $\sigma^{2}$. Does this equation make sense to you?

Solution: I will set $v=\sigma^{2}$ for clarify. Then, we have, at the optimal point of $b=\widehat{b}$, the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\cdot) & =\frac{-n}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi v} \cdot(2 \pi)+\frac{1}{2 v^{2}} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2} \\
& =\frac{-n}{2 v}+\frac{1}{2 v^{2}} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting this to zero yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{2 \widehat{v}} & =\frac{1}{2 \widehat{v}^{2}} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2} \\
\frac{2 \widehat{v}^{2}}{2 \widehat{v}} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2} \\
\widehat{v} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This should make sense because it measures the squared size of the residuals, which we expect to be normally distributed with variance $\sigma^{2}$.
7. The MLE estimator for $\sigma^{2}$ is biased, but we can fix this by dividing by $n-1$ instead of $n$, just as we did with the one-sample mean.

This unbiased version is independent of $\widehat{b}$. If we take this unbiased estimator and divide by $\sigma^{2}$, we will have a chi-squared distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. Using this, create a pivot statistic that depends only on $b$ and not $\sigma^{2}$.

Solution: This is just a matter of plugging in our answers to the previous questions and using the formula for a T-statistic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\frac{\frac{\widehat{b}-b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2} / \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i} \widehat{y}_{i}^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\widehat{b}-b}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

